PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

| REC'D | 13 | SEP | |
|-------|----|-----|-----|
| WIPO | | | POT |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 63207A | FOR FURTHER A | CTION : | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| International application No. International filing date PCT/US2004/021508 02.07.2004 | | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 08.07.2003 | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B29C37/00, B29C45/37, B29C33/42, G11B23/38 | | | | | |
| Applicant DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC. | | | | | |
| This report is the International preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total of | • | | | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied by | y ANNEXES, comprisir | ng: | | | |
| a. D sent to the applicant and to | • | - | s follows: | | |
| sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | | | |
| sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. | | | | | |
| b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | | | |
| 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | | |
| Box No. I Basis of the opin | nion | , | | | |
| ☐ Box No. II Priority | Priority | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. III Non-establishme | No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, Inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of I | Lack of unity of Invention | | | | |
| | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. VI Certain documer | | | · | | |
| | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application | | | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | Date of completion of this | report | | |
| 14.01.2005 | | 13.09.2005 | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: | | Authorized Officer | 133 | | |
| European Patent Office - P.B. I NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Ba Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 (Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 | is | Mathey, X Telephone No. +31 70 34 | 0. 2686 | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/021508

| _ | Box No. I Basis of the report | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1. | With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | |
| | which is the language of a to international search (und D publication of the interna | slations from the original language into the following language, ranslation furnished for the purposes of: ler Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) | |
| 2. | 2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): | | |
| | Description, Pages | | |
| | 1-4 | as originally filed | |
| | Claims, Numbers | | |
| | 1-14 | as originally filed | |
| | Drawings, Sheets | | |
| | 1/1 | as originally filed | |
| | ☐ a sequence listing and/or an | y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing | |
| 3. | ☐ The amendments have result the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawlngs, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (special any table(s) related to se | pcify): | |
| 4. | ☐ This report has been established not been made, since they he Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (special any table(s) related to se | ecify): | |
| | * If item 4 applies, so | me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/021508

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims 5

5,9 1-4,6-8,10-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

No: Claims

1-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

- 1.1 The following document is referred to in this communication:
- D1: US-A-5 608 718 (SCHIEWE HILMAR) 4 March 1997 (1997-03-04)
- 1.2 The following is stated under reference to item VIII, whereby it is to be noted that unclear features cannot be used for unambiguously distinguishing over prior art in order to assess novelty or inventive step.
- 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS

2.1 CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT: Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a method for the manufacture of a molded article having a specific mark on the surface thereof comprising the steps of providing a mold having a surface defining a mold cavity, said surface including a negative version of a texture (21,22) and a negative version of a specific texture (23), placing a material into the mold cavity and molding said material to define an article including a specific mark on its surface which is a positive version of the negative version of the specific texture, see col.1, line 62-col.2, line 9, and fig. 1 and 2.

The document D1 further mentions that the specific mark is visible under certain conditions, e.g. by means of an electron microscope, and is not visible under other conditions, see col. 2, lines 43-48.

2.2 CLAIM 14

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT: As seen above, D1 discloses a molded article produced by the method described in claim 1.

- 3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-13
- 3.1 In view of the lack of novelty in claim 1, it is questionable whether the dependent claims 2-13 are still linked by a single general inventive concept. The application,

hence does not necessarily meet the requirements of Rules 13.1 and 13.2 PCT.

- 3.2 As a consequence thereof, the examining instance cannot presently find a ground for the selection of one or more of the additional features as listed in claims 2-13 in order to support the presence of an inventive step. As a consequence, claims 2-13 do not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.3 Concerning the disclosure of the additional features of claims 2-13 as such, reference is made to the international search report and the relevant passages of the cited documents in reference to the claims.
- Claims 1-14 fulfill the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

Re item VII

 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents US-A-5608718 and US-A-5607188 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because the claims 1, 2, 3, 13 and 14 are not clear.

- 1. Claim 1 relates to a method for the manufacture of an article, but the claim does not provide the reader with a technical feature to obtain visibility under certain conditions. Neither are the conditions specified. Rather, the claim refers to conditions in which the mark can be observed. If the optical characteristics of the moulded article are due to specific method steps, then these steps should be used to define the scope of the protection sought, see PCT Guidelines 5.35.
- 2. The expressions "under certain conditions", "under other conditions", cf. claim 1, "at a predetermined angle", "at other viewing angles", cf. claim 2, "under a first lighting condition" and "under a second lighting condition", cf. claim 3, are vague and lead the reader to doubt about the meaning of the technical features to which

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/021508

they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of the respective claims unclear, see PCT Guidelines 5.34.

- Bracketed expressions, cf. claim 13, are not part of a claim's subject-matter, see
 PCT Guidelines 5.11. Therefore the subject-matter of claim 13 lacks clarity.
- 4. Claim 14 attempts to define a product by reference to the manufacturing method. Since the method itself is not clear, the product claim also lacks clarity. Moreover, the product should be defined by the features that characterize it, otherwise it is not clear whether claim 14 is a product claim or a method claim, cf. PCT Guidelines 5.26.
- In page 4 of the description, the sentence on lines 27-29 implies that the extent of protection may be expanded in some vague and not precisely defined way, which is in contradiction with Article 6, see PCT Guidelines 5.30.